

**Percentage Voted Statistics Report**  
**in 2009 City/School General**  
**A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics**  
 Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation			Gender			Age Range				Total		
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44		45-65	> 65
Ash Valley Twp / 495 / 3-6													
No. Registered	13	0	0	19	17	15	0	0	0	7	17	8	32
No. Voted	5	0	0	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	4	3	7
% Voted	38%	0%	0%	11%	18%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%	38%	22%
Ash Valley Twp / 496 / 246													
No. Registered	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	3
No. Voted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Ash Valley Twp</b>													
No. Registered	13	0	0	22	19	16	0	0	0	8	18	9	35
No. Voted	5	0	0	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	4	3	7
% Voted	38%	0%	0%	9%	16%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	33%	20%
Br. Grove Twp													
No. Registered	6	0	0	24	15	15	0	0	2	5	15	8	30
No. Voted	1	0	0	11	5	7	0	0	0	5	6	1	12
% Voted	17%	0%	0%	46%	33%	47%	0%	0%	0%	100%	40%	13%	40%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Br. Grove Twp</b>													
No. Registered	6	0	0	24	15	15	0	0	2	5	15	8	30
No. Voted	1	0	0	11	5	7	0	0	0	5	6	1	12
% Voted	17%	0%	0%	46%	33%	47%	0%	0%	0%	100%	40%	13%	40%



## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender			Age Range				Total	
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44	45-65		> 65
<b>Burdett City</b>													
No. Registered	27	0	1	130	76	82	0	0	17	28	62	51	158
No. Voted	9	0	0	54	31	32	0	0	1	5	29	28	63
% Voted	33%	0%	0%	42%	41%	39%	0%	0%	6%	18%	47%	55%	40%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Burdett City</b>													
No. Registered	27	0	1	130	76	82	0	0	17	28	62	51	158
No. Voted	9	0	0	54	31	32	0	0	1	5	29	28	63
% Voted	33%	0%	0%	42%	41%	39%	0%	0%	6%	18%	47%	55%	40%
<b>Conkling Twp / 495 / 3-6</b>													
No. Registered	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
No. Voted	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	50%
<b>Conkling Twp / 496 / 246</b>													
No. Registered	3	0	0	12	7	8	0	0	0	6	4	5	15
No. Voted	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
% Voted	67%	0%	0%	0%	14%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	13%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Conkling Twp</b>													
No. Registered	4	0	0	13	8	9	0	0	0	6	6	5	17
No. Voted	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
% Voted	50%	0%	0%	8%	25%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	18%

## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender		Age Range				Total		
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44		45-65	> 65
Garfield City													
No. Registered	33	2	0	81	52	64	0	0	7	28	49	32	116
No. Voted	14	1	0	40	25	30	0	0	1	10	23	21	55
% Voted	42%	50%	0%	49%	48%	47%	0%	0%	14%	36%	47%	66%	47%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Garfield City</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>116</b>
No. Registered	33	2	0	81	52	64	0	0	7	28	49	32	116
No. Voted	14	1	0	40	25	30	0	0	1	10	23	21	55
% Voted	42%	50%	0%	49%	48%	47%	0%	0%	14%	36%	47%	66%	47%
Garfield Twp													
No. Registered	4	0	0	27	17	14	0	0	3	7	17	4	31
No. Voted	0	0	0	8	5	3	0	0	1	0	6	1	8
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	30%	29%	21%	0%	0%	33%	0%	35%	25%	26%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Garfield Twp</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>31</b>
No. Registered	4	0	0	27	17	14	0	0	3	7	17	4	31
No. Voted	0	0	0	8	5	3	0	0	1	0	6	1	8
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	30%	29%	21%	0%	0%	33%	0%	35%	25%	26%
Grant Twp													
No. Registered	4	0	0	33	21	16	0	0	1	6	22	8	37
No. Voted	4	0	0	18	12	10	0	0	0	1	14	7	22
% Voted	100%	0%	0%	55%	57%	63%	0%	0%	0%	17%	64%	88%	59%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Grant Twp</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>37</b>
No. Registered	4	0	0	33	21	16	0	0	1	6	22	8	37
No. Voted	4	0	0	18	12	10	0	0	0	1	14	7	22
% Voted	100%	0%	0%	55%	57%	63%	0%	0%	0%	17%	64%	88%	59%

## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics

Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender			Age Range				Total
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44	45-65	
Keysville Twp / 495 / 3-6												
No. Registered	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
No. Voted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Keysville Twp / 496 / 246												
No. Registered	6	0	0	29	16	19	0	0	4	6	13	12
No. Voted	3	0	0	4	3	4	0	0	0	0	3	4
% Voted	50%	0%	0%	14%	19%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	33%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Keysville Twp</b>												
No. Registered	6	0	0	31	17	20	0	0	4	6	13	14
No. Voted	3	0	0	4	3	4	0	0	0	0	3	4
% Voted	50%	0%	0%	13%	18%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	29%
Larned City Ward 1 / 1-4												
No. Registered	10	0	0	55	30	35	0	0	2	16	27	20
No. Voted	3	0	0	9	5	7	0	0	0	5	4	3
% Voted	30%	0%	0%	16%	17%	20%	0%	0%	0%	31%	15%	15%
Larned City Ward 1 / 2-5												
No. Registered	119	1	0	395	221	294	0	0	51	135	207	122
No. Voted	29	0	0	60	37	52	0	0	2	12	36	39
% Voted	24%	0%	0%	15%	17%	18%	0%	0%	4%	9%	17%	32%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Larned City Ward 1</b>												
No. Registered	129	1	0	450	251	329	0	0	53	151	234	142
No. Voted	32	0	0	69	42	59	0	0	2	17	40	42
% Voted	25%	0%	0%	15%	17%	18%	0%	0%	4%	11%	17%	30%

## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender			Age Range				Total	
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44	45-65		> 65
Larned City Ward 2 / 1-4													
No. Registered	148	1	0	482	259	372	0	1	47	148	248	187	631
No. Voted	44	0	0	129	68	105	0	0	1	27	65	80	173
% Voted	30%	0%	0%	27%	26%	28%	0%	0%	2%	18%	26%	43%	27%
Larned City Ward 2 / 2-5													
No. Registered	11	0	0	18	7	22	0	0	0	1	8	20	29
No. Voted	2	0	0	6	1	7	0	0	0	0	3	5	8
% Voted	18%	0%	0%	33%	14%	32%	0%	0%	0%	0%	38%	25%	28%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Larned City Ward 2</b>													
No. Registered	159	1	0	500	266	394	0	1	47	149	256	207	660
No. Voted	46	0	0	135	69	112	0	0	1	27	68	85	181
% Voted	29%	0%	0%	27%	26%	28%	0%	0%	2%	18%	27%	41%	27%
Larned City Ward 3 / 1-4													
No. Registered	157	0	0	524	313	368	0	0	43	165	290	183	681
No. Voted	30	0	0	120	68	82	0	0	1	26	59	64	150
% Voted	19%	0%	0%	23%	22%	22%	0%	0%	2%	16%	20%	35%	22%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Larned City Ward 3</b>													
No. Registered	157	0	0	524	313	368	0	0	43	165	290	183	681
No. Voted	30	0	0	120	68	82	0	0	1	26	59	64	150
% Voted	19%	0%	0%	23%	22%	22%	0%	0%	2%	16%	20%	35%	22%
Larned City Ward 4 / 1-4													
No. Registered	23	0	0	59	37	45	0	0	7	20	36	19	82
No. Voted	3	0	0	8	4	7	0	0	1	1	5	4	11
% Voted	13%	0%	0%	14%	11%	16%	0%	0%	14%	5%	14%	21%	13%



# Percentage Voted Statistics Report

## in 2009 City/School General

### A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics

Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspense

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender			Age Range			Total		
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44		45-65	> 65
Larned City Ward 4 / 2-5													
No. Registered	105	1	0	282	198	190	0	0	26	108	161	93	388
No. Voted	16	0	0	26	19	23	0	0	0	4	15	23	42
% Voted	15%	0%	0%	9%	10%	12%		0%	0%	4%	9%	25%	11%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Larned City Ward 4</b>													
No. Registered	128	1	0	341	235	235	0	0	33	128	197	112	470
No. Voted	19	0	0	34	23	30	0	0	1	5	20	27	53
% Voted	15%	0%	0%	10%	10%	13%		0%	3%	4%	10%	24%	11%
Larned Twp / 1-4													
No. Registered	12	0	0	55	32	35	0	0	8	9	32	18	67
No. Voted	0	0	0	8	5	3	0	0	0	1	3	4	8
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	15%	16%	9%		0%	0%	11%	9%	22%	12%
Larned Twp / 2-5													
No. Registered	21	0	0	37	27	31	0	0	6	9	22	21	58
No. Voted	0	0	0	5	3	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	5
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	14%	11%	6%		0%	0%	11%	9%	10%	9%
Larned Twp / 3-6													
No. Registered	16	0	0	55	35	36	0	0	10	19	24	18	71
No. Voted	1	0	0	5	3	3	0	0	0	0	4	2	6
% Voted	6%	0%	0%	9%	9%	8%		0%	0%	0%	17%	11%	8%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Larned Twp</b>													
No. Registered	49	0	0	147	94	102	0	0	24	37	78	57	196
No. Voted	1	0	0	18	11	8	0	0	0	2	9	8	19
% Voted	2%	0%	0%	12%	12%	8%		0%	0%	5%	12%	14%	10%





# Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General

## A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics

Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender			Age Range				Total	
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44	45-65		> 65
Lincoln Twp													
No. Registered	6	0	0	12	9	9	0	0	0	4	10	4	18
No. Voted	1	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
% Voted	17%	0%	0%	17%	11%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30%	0%	17%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Lincoln Twp</b>													
No. Registered	6	0	0	12	9	9	0	0	0	4	10	4	18
No. Voted	1	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
% Voted	17%	0%	0%	17%	11%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30%	0%	17%
Logan Twp / 351 / 1-4-5													
No. Registered	1	0	0	17	9	9	0	0	0	6	7	5	18
No. Voted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Logan Twp / 495 / 3-6													
No. Registered	1	0	0	13	7	7	0	0	0	2	9	3	14
No. Voted	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	15%	14%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	0%	14%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Logan Twp</b>													
No. Registered	2	0	0	30	16	16	0	0	0	8	16	8	32
No. Voted	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	6%
Morton Twp / 495 / 3-6													
No. Registered	9	0	0	16	12	13	0	0	2	7	11	5	25
No. Voted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender			Age Range				Total	
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44	45-65		> 65
Morton Twp / 496 / 246													
No. Registered	5	0	0	9	7	7	0	0	0	5	6	3	14
No. Voted	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	22%	0%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	33%	14%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Morton Twp</b>													
No. Registered	14	0	0	25	19	20	0	0	2	12	17	8	39
No. Voted	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	13%	5%
Orange Twp													
No. Registered	7	0	0	26	19	14	0	0	1	5	18	9	33
No. Voted	3	0	0	6	4	5	0	0	0	1	7	1	9
% Voted	43%	0%	0%	23%	21%	36%	0%	0%	0%	20%	39%	11%	27%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Orange Twp</b>													
No. Registered	7	0	0	26	19	14	0	0	1	5	18	9	33
No. Voted	3	0	0	6	4	5	0	0	0	1	7	1	9
% Voted	43%	0%	0%	23%	21%	36%	0%	0%	0%	20%	39%	11%	27%
Pawnee Twp													
No. Registered	13	0	0	41	32	22	0	0	1	11	31	11	54
No. Voted	5	0	0	7	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	12
% Voted	38%	0%	0%	17%	19%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	55%	22%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Pawnee Twp</b>													
No. Registered	13	0	0	41	32	22	0	0	1	11	31	11	54
No. Voted	5	0	0	7	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	12
% Voted	38%	0%	0%	17%	19%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	55%	22%

## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender		Age Range				Total		
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44		45-65	> 65
<b>Pit. Grove Twp / 1-4</b>													
No. Registered	2	0	0	9	6	5	0	0	0	4	3	4	11
No. Voted	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	22%	17%	20%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	18%
<b>Pit. Grove Twp / 2-5</b>													
No. Registered	1	0	0	8	5	4	0	0	2	2	5	0	9
No. Voted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>Pit. Grove Twp / 3-6</b>													
No. Registered	20	0	0	69	49	40	0	0	8	18	50	13	89
No. Voted	3	0	0	6	5	4	0	0	0	1	5	3	9
% Voted	15%	0%	0%	9%	10%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	10%	23%	10%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Pit. Grove Twp</b>													
No. Registered	23	0	0	86	60	49	0	0	10	24	58	17	109
No. Voted	3	0	0	8	6	5	0	0	0	3	5	3	11
% Voted	13%	0%	0%	9%	10%	10%	0%	0%	0%	13%	9%	18%	10%
<b>Pit. Ridge Twp / 495 / 3-6</b>													
No. Registered	10	0	0	27	21	16	0	0	5	11	10	11	37
No. Voted	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
% Voted	40%	0%	0%	0%	10%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	40%	0%	11%

## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics

Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender		Age Range				Total		
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44		45-65	> 65
Pit. Ridge Twp / 496 / 246													
No. Registered	1	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
No. Voted	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	33%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Pit. Ridge Twp</b>													
No. Registered	11	0	0	29	23	17	0	0	5	11	12	12	40
No. Voted	4	0	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
% Voted	36%			3%	13%	12%		0%	0%	0%	42%	0%	13%
Pit. Valley Twp / 351 1-4-5													
No. Registered	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
No. Voted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pit. Valley Twp / 495													
No. Registered	12	1	0	46	28	31	0	0	3	10	34	12	59
No. Voted	2	0	0	10	5	7	0	0	0	3	5	4	12
% Voted	17%	0%	0%	22%	18%	23%		0%	0%	30%	15%	33%	20%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Pit. Valley Twp</b>													
No. Registered	12	1	0	48	29	32	0	0	3	10	36	12	61
No. Voted	2	0	0	10	5	7	0	0	0	3	5	4	12
% Voted	17%	0%	0%	21%	17%	22%		0%	0%	30%	14%	33%	20%
River Twp / 351 / 1-4-5													
No. Registered	1	0	0	6	5	2	0	0	1	3	1	2	7
No. Voted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender		Age Range				Total	
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44		45-65
River Twp / 495 / 3-6												
No. Registered	4	0	0	33	16	21	0	0	3	5	24	5
No. Voted	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	9%	6%	10%	0%	0%	0%	20%	8%	0%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR River Twp</b>												
No. Registered	5	0	0	39	21	23	0	0	4	8	25	7
No. Voted	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	8%	5%	9%	0%	0%	0%	13%	8%	0%
Rozele City												
No. Registered	15	0	0	92	52	55	0	0	8	36	41	22
No. Voted	5	0	0	29	17	17	0	0	1	9	13	11
% Voted	33%	0%	0%	32%	33%	31%	0%	0%	13%	25%	32%	50%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Rozele City</b>												
No. Registered	15	0	0	92	52	55	0	0	8	36	41	22
No. Voted	5	0	0	29	17	17	0	0	1	9	13	11
% Voted	33%	0%	0%	32%	33%	31%	0%	0%	13%	25%	32%	50%
Santa Fe Twp												
No. Registered	9	0	0	59	39	29	0	0	3	21	32	12
No. Voted	1	0	0	15	7	9	0	0	1	5	6	4
% Voted	11%	0%	0%	25%	18%	31%	0%	0%	33%	24%	19%	33%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Santa Fe Twp</b>												
No. Registered	9	0	0	59	39	29	0	0	3	21	32	12
No. Voted	1	0	0	15	7	9	0	0	1	5	6	4
% Voted	11%	0%	0%	25%	18%	31%	0%	0%	33%	24%	19%	33%

## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics

Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender			Age Range				Total	
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44	45-65		> 65
<b>Sawmill Twp</b>													
No. Registered	6	0	0	14	12	8	0	0	5	0	9	6	20
No. Voted	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	13%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	5%
<b>Sawmill Twp</b>													
No. Registered	6	0	0	14	12	8	0	0	5	0	9	6	20
No. Voted	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	13%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	5%
<b>Shiley Twp</b>													
No. Registered	1	0	0	20	11	10	0	0	2	2	10	7	21
No. Voted	0	0	0	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	3	4	8
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	40%	36%	40%	0%	0%	0%	50%	30%	57%	38%
<b>Shiley Twp</b>													
No. Registered	1	0	0	20	11	10	0	0	2	2	10	7	21
No. Voted	0	0	0	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	3	4	8
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	40%	36%	40%	0%	0%	0%	50%	30%	57%	38%
<b>Valley Center Twp / 351/ 1-4-5</b>													
No. Registered	5	0	0	25	19	11	0	0	3	6	17	4	30
No. Voted	3	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	1	5	0	6
% Voted	60%	0%	0%	12%	16%	27%	0%	0%	0%	17%	29%	0%	20%

## Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics

Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspende

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation			Gender			Age Range			Total			
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24		25-44	45-65	> 65
Valley Center Twp / 495 / 3-6													
No. Registered	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
No. Voted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Voted	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Valley Center Twp</b>													
No. Registered	5	0	0	28	21	12	0	0	3	6	19	5	33
No. Voted	3	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0	1	5	0	6
% Voted	60%			11%	14%	25%			0%	17%	26%	0%	18%



# Percentage Voted Statistics Report in 2009 City/School General A Jurisdiction Wide Breakdown of Statistics

Includes Registrants with Status of: Active, Inactive, Suspend

Pol. Subdivision	Party Affiliation				Gender			Age Range				Total	
	D	L	F	Other	Male	Female	Unkn	< 18	18-24	25-44	45-65		> 65
Walnut Twp													
No. Registered	20	0	0	40	33	27	0	0	5	12	33	10	60
No. Voted	6	0	0	2	3	5	0	0	0	1	4	3	8
% Voted	30%	0%	0%	5%	9%	19%	0%	0%	0%	8%	12%	30%	13%
<b>SUBTOTAL FOR Walnut Twp</b>													
No. Registered	20	0	0	40	33	27	0	0	5	12	33	10	60
No. Voted	6	0	0	2	3	5	0	0	0	1	4	3	8
% Voted	30%	0%	0%	5%	9%	19%	0%	0%	0%	8%	12%	30%	13%
<b>TOTAL</b>													
No. Registered	868	6	1	2,912	1,780	2,007	0	1	286	894	1,624	982	3,787
No. Voted	199	1	0	613	360	453	0	0	11	123	351	328	813
% Voted	23%	17%	0%	21%	20%	23%	0%	0%	4%	14%	22%	33%	21%